

Unicity in One-Sided L_1 -Approximation and Quadrature Formulae

GÜNTHER NÜRNBERGER

*Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik,
Universität Mannheim,
6800 Mannheim, West Germany*

Communicated by G. Meinardus

Received September 27, 1984

It is shown that for each n -dimensional subspace G of $C(T)$ which contains a strictly positive function there exists a quadrature formula with at most $n-1$ points and positive weights which is exact for all $g \in G$ ($n \geq 2$, T has at most $n-1$ components). Since unicity of best one-sided L_1 -approximations from G is equivalent to the non-existence of such quadrature formulae, a general non-unicity theorem is obtained. This result does not hold if T has more than $n-1$ components. © 1985

Academic Press, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

The connection of unicity in one-sided L_1 -approximation with the existence of quadrature formulae is investigated. Let an n -dimensional subspace G of $C(T)$ (T a compact metric space) which contains a strictly positive function and $f \in C(T)$ be given. A function $g_f \in G$ with $g_f \leq f$ is called a best one-sided L_1 -approximation of f if $\|f - g_f\|_1 \leq \|f - g\|_1$ for all $g \in G$ with $g \leq f$.

Applying a characterization on unique solutions of semi-infinite optimization problems given in [7] to this approximation problem, the following characterization is obtained: Every $f \in C(T)$ has a unique (resp. strongly unique) best one-sided L_1 -approximation from G if and only if there does not exist a quadrature formula of at most $n-1$ points with positive weights which is exact for all $g \in G$.

We show that if $n \geq 2$ and T has at most $n-1$ components, then for each G there exists such a quadrature formula. By applying the above characterization we obtain a general non-unicity result on one-sided L_1 -approximation. Special cases of this theorem were proved for $T = [a, b]$ and under the additional assumption that G is a Haar subspace by DeVore

[2], a subspace of splines by Pinkus [9], and a weak Chebyshev subspace by Strauss [11].

Finally, it is shown that the above results do not hold if T has more than $n - 1$ components.

The main results in this paper have been presented in a talk at the GAMM meeting in 1984. An abstract of this talk is given in [6].

1. PRELIMINARIES

We first recall a result on global unicity in semi-infinite optimization given in [7] which will be applied to one-sided L_1 -approximation. To do this we need the following notation.

For a compact metric space we denote by $C(T)$ (resp. $C(T, \mathbb{R}^n)$) the space of all the continuous mappings $b: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $B: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$). Let $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $B \in C(T, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $f \in C(T)$, and $\sigma = (p, B, f)$ be given. We consider the corresponding linear *semi-infinite optimization problem* $LM(\sigma)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize } \langle p, x \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \text{ subject to} \\ \langle B(t), x \rangle &\leq f(t) \text{ for all } t \in T. \end{aligned}$$

The optimization problem $LM(\sigma)$ is said to satisfy the *Slater-condition* if there exists a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\langle B(t), y \rangle < f(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in T.$$

We set $L = \{\sigma: LM(\sigma) \text{ has a solution and satisfies the Slater-condition}\}$. A solution $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of $LM(\sigma)$ is called *strongly unique* if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for all feasible points $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (i.e., $\langle B(t), y \rangle \leq f(t)$ for all $t \in T$),

$$\langle p, y \rangle \geq \langle p, x \rangle + K \|x - y\|_2.$$

The following unicity result was given in [7].

THEOREM 1. *For a fixed vector $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p \neq 0$, and a fixed mapping $B \in C(T, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $B \neq 0$, the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *For every $f \in C(T)$ with $\sigma = (p, B, f) \in L$ the optimization problem $LM(\sigma)$ has a unique solution.*

(2) *For every $f \in C(T)$ with $\sigma = (p, B, f) \in L$ the optimization problem $LM(\sigma)$ has a strongly unique solution.*

(3) *There do not exist points $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in T$ and real numbers $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \geq 0$ such that*

$$-p = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i B(t_i).$$

It is well known that one-sided L_1 -approximation is a special semi-infinite optimization problem.

Let μ be a strictly positive measure on T (i.e., $\int_T f d\mu > 0$ for all $f \in C(T)$ with $f \geq 0$ and $f \neq 0$). Furthermore, let the L_1 -norm of a function $f \in C(T)$ be defined by

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_T |f| d\mu.$$

Now, let $G = \text{span}\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ be an n -dimensional subspace of $C(T)$ and $f \in C(T)$. The *one-sided L_1 -approximation problem* is to find a function $g_f \in G$ with $g_f \leq f$, called *best one-sided L_1 -approximation* of f from G , such that for all $g \in G$ with $g \leq f$,

$$\|f - g\|_1 \geq \|f - g_f\|_1.$$

A function $g_f \in G$ with $g_f \leq f$ is called a *strongly unique best one-sided L_1 -approximation* of f from G , if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for all $g \in G$ with $g \leq f$,

$$\|f - g\|_1 \geq \|f - g_f\|_1 + K \|g - g_f\|_1.$$

It is easy to see that this approximation problem can be written as an optimization problem $LM(\sigma)$, where

$$p = \left(-\int_T g_1 d\mu, \dots, -\int_T g_n d\mu \right)$$

and

$$B(t) = (g_1(t), \dots, g_n(t)) \quad \text{for all } t \in T.$$

Obviously, if G contains a strictly positive function, then $LM(\sigma)$ satisfies the Slater-condition for all $f \in C(T)$.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

The following global unicity result on one-sided L_1 -approximation is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *For an n -dimensional subspace G of $C(T)$ which contains a strictly positive function the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *Every $f \in C(T)$ has a unique best one-sided L_1 -approximation from G .*

(2) *Every $f \in C(T)$ has a strongly unique best one-sided L_1 -approximation from G .*

(3) *There do not exist points $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in T$ and real numbers $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \geq 0$ such that*

$$\int_T g \, d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i g(t_i) \quad \text{for all } g \in G$$

(i.e., there does not exist a quadrature formula of at most $n-1$ points and with positive weights which is exact for all $g \in G$).

We now give a theorem on the existence of quadrature formulae as in Theorem 2 which will be proved later.

THEOREM 3. *Let $n \geq 2$, T have at most $n-1$ components, and G be an n -dimensional subspace of $C(T)$ which contains a strictly positive function. Then there exists a quadrature formula of at most $n-1$ points and with positive weights which is exact for all $g \in G$.*

Combining Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 we immediately obtain the following general non-unicity result on one-sided L_1 -approximation.

COROLLARY 4. *Let $n \geq 2$, T have at most $n-1$ components, and G be an n -dimensional subspace of $C(T)$ which contains a strictly positive function. Then there exists a function in $C(T)$ which has more than one best one-sided L_1 -approximation from G .*

Remark 5. (1) Corollary 4 was proved in the special case when $T = [a, b]$ and under the additional assumption that G is a Haar subspace by DeVore [2], that G is a subspace of splines by Pinkus [9], and that G is a weak Chebyshev subspace (i.e., each function $g \in G$ has at most $n-1$ sign changes) by Strauss [11]. Note that Haar subspaces and subspaces of splines are weak Chebyshev.

(2) Theorem 2 shows that if G is a one-dimensional subspace of $C(T)$ which contains a strictly positive function, then every $f \in C(T)$ has a strongly unique best one-sided L_1 -approximation from G .

(3) Note that Corollary 4 is also valid for functions in several variables, e.g., for subspaces of polynomials or splines in several variables.

In the following we will give a proof of Theorem 3. To do this we need the following well-known results (see, e.g., Brosowski [1] and Hettich and

Zencke [4]). For a subset A of \mathbb{R}^n we denote by $\text{cone}(A)$ the convex cone generated by A .

LEMMA 6 (Farkas). *Let vectors $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be given. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $z_0 \in \text{cone}(\{z_i; i = 1, \dots, m\})$.
- (2) *There does not exist a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$\langle z_0, y \rangle < 0$$

and

$$\langle z_i, y \rangle \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

For a subset A of \mathbb{R}^n we denote by $\text{conv}(A)$ the convex hull of A .

LEMMA 7. *For a compact subset Z of \mathbb{R}^n the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $0 \in \text{conv}(Z)$.
- (2) *There does not exist a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$\langle z, y \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in Z.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. Since G contains a strictly positive function, we may choose a basis $\{\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_n\}$ of G such that

$$\tilde{g}_n(t) > 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in T.$$

Since μ is a strictly positive measure, we have $\int_T \tilde{g}_n d\mu > 0$. Therefore, there exist real numbers b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} such that

$$\int_T (\tilde{g}_i + b_i \tilde{g}_n) d\mu = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

We set $g_i = \tilde{g}_i + b_i \tilde{g}_n$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $g_n = \tilde{g}_n$. Then $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ is a basis of G such that

$$(1) \int_T g_i d\mu = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then condition (3) in Theorem 2 says that

- (2) there do not exist points $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in T$ and real numbers $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \geq 0$ such that

$$\int_T g_j d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i g_j(t_i), \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

We set $x = (0, \dots, 0, \int_T g_n d\mu)$ and $G(t) = (g_1(t), \dots, g_n(t))$ for all $t \in T$. Then condition (2) is equivalent to the following condition:

(3) there do not exist points $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in T$ such that

$$x \in \text{conc}(\{G(t_i): i = 1, \dots, n-1\}).$$

By Lemma 6 condition (3) is equivalent to the following condition:

(4) for all points $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in T$ there exist a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\langle x, y \rangle < 0$$

and

$$\langle G(t_i), y \rangle \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

We set $\tilde{G} = \text{span}\{g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}\}$. Then condition (4) is equivalent to the following condition:

(5) for all points $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in T$ there exists a function $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ such that

$$\tilde{g}(t_i) > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

In fact, if (5) holds, then there exist real numbers y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i g_i(t_i) > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Since $g_n(t) > 0$ for all $t \in T$, we may choose a sufficiently small negative number y_n such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i g_i(t_i) > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

We set $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Since $y_n \int_T g_n d\mu < 0$, we have $\langle x, y \rangle > 0$ which shows that (4) holds. The converse implication is obvious. To complete the proof we have to show that (5) fails. We set

$$\tilde{G}(t) = (g_1(t), \dots, g_{n-1}(t)) \quad \text{for all } t \in T.$$

Since μ is a strictly positive measure, it follows from (1) that there does not exist a vector $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that

$$\langle \tilde{G}(t), \tilde{y} \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in T.$$

Since T is compact and the function

$$t \rightarrow (g_1(t), \dots, g_{n-1}(t))$$

is continuous on T , $\{\tilde{G}(t): t \in T\}$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Therefore by Lemma 7 we have

$$0 \in \text{conv}(\{G(t): t \in T\}).$$

Moreover, since T has at most $n-1$ components, the set $\{\tilde{G}(t): t \in T\}$ has at most $n-1$ components. Therefore by Egglestone [3, p. 35] there exist points $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in T$ such that

$$0 \in \text{conv}(\{\tilde{G}(t_i): i = 1, \dots, n-1\}).$$

Again by Lemma 7 there does not exist a function $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ such that

$$\tilde{g}(t_i) > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

which shows that (5) fails. This proves Theorem 3.

In the following we will show that Theorem 3 and Corollary 4 are not true if T has more than $n-1$ components.

We first note that if an n -dimensional subspace G of $C(T)$ contains a strictly positive function, then there exists a basis $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ of G such that

$$\int_T g_i d\mu = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$g_n(t) > 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in T.$$

Therefore, in this case we can always argue with such a basis. Then the proof of Theorem 3 yields the following result.

THEOREM 8. *Let $n \geq 2$, G be an n -dimensional subspace of $C(T)$, and $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ be a basis of G such that*

$$\int_T g_i d\mu = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$g_n(t) > 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in T.$$

Then the statements (1) (3) in Theorem 2 are equivalent to the following statement:

(4) For all points $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in T$ there exists a function $g \in \text{span}\{g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}\}$ such that

$$g(t_i) > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

If T has more than $n-1$ components, then it is easy to construct n -dimensional subspaces G of $C(T)$ for which condition (4) in Theorem 8 holds.

EXAMPLE 9. (1) Let $T = [-2, -1] \cup [1, 2]$ and $G = \text{span}\{g_1, g_2\}$ be a two-dimensional subspace of $C(T)$, defined by $g_1(t) = t$ for all $t \in T$ and $g_2 = 1$. Then condition (4) in Theorem 8 is satisfied. In particular all best approximations from G are strongly unique and G does not admit a quadrature formula as in Theorem 2.

(2) The space $l_1^m = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) : x_i \text{ real}, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_m)\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|$$

is a space of type $C(T)$ endowed with the L_1 -norm, where $T = \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Let $G = \text{span}\{g_1, g_2\}$ be a two-dimensional subspace of l_1^m such that $g_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ has the property that $x_i \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$, and $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 0$ and $g_2 = (1, \dots, 1)$. Then also condition (4) in Theorem 8 is satisfied.

Remark 10. (1) For further unicity results on one-sided L_1 -approximation in $C[a, b]$ see, e.g., Sommer and Strauss [10] and Nürnberger, Schumaker, Sommer, and Strauss [8].

(2) For further unicity results on semi-infinite optimization see, e.g., Brosowski [1], Hettich and Zencke [4], and Nürnberger [5].

REFERENCES

1. B. BROSOWSKI, "Parametric Semi-Infinite Optimization." Verlag Peter Lang, Frankfurt, 1982.
2. R. DEVORE, One-sided approximation of functions, *J. Approx. Theory* **1** (1968), 11-15.
3. H. G. EGGLESTONE, Convexity, Cambridge, Univ. Press, London/New York, 1958.
4. R. HETTICH AND H. ZENCKE, "Numerische Methoden der Approximation und semi-infiniten Optimierung," Teuber, Stuttgart, 1982.
5. G. NÜRNBERGER, Unicity in semi-infinite optimization, in "Parametric Optimization and Approximation, Oberwolfach 1983" (B. Brosowski and F. Deutsch, Eds.), pp. 231-247, ISNM 72, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1985.
6. G. NÜRNBERGER, Global unicity in optimization and approximation, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **65** (1984-85), T 285.

7. G. NÜRNBERGER, Global unicity in semi-infinite optimization, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, to appear.
8. G. NÜRNBERGER, L. L. SCHUMAKER, M. SOMMER AND H. STRAUSS, Approximation by generalized splines, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, to appear.
9. A. PINKUS, One-sided L^1 -approximation by splines with fixed knots, *J. Approx. Theory* **18** (1976), 130–135.
10. M. SOMMER AND H. STRAUSS, Unicity of best one-sided L_1 -approximations for certain classes of spline functions, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **4** (1981–82), 413–435.
11. H. STRAUSS, Unicity of best one-sided L_1 -approximation, *Numer. Math.* **40** (1982), 229–243.